ALTERNATIVE ENERGY COSTS

PRESENTED TO APEGM 2010 02 17 By David Grant, P.Eng.

This photograph shows wind-swept snow in sunlight.

Sun and Wind are leading sources of alternative energy.



NUCLEAR FISSION

FOSSIL FUELED ELECTRICITY

HYDROELECTRICITY

WHAT IS NOT 'ALTERNATIVE ENERGY' ?

NUCLEAR FISSION REACTOR

This was once seen as the fuel source of the future.

It offered low cost, little local pollution and limitless fuel resources.

Construction cost escalation and 'bad press' have kept this industry from growing.

FOSSIL FUELED ELECTRICITY

There are innovative ways of making electricity from fossil fuels, but they are rejected emphatically by activists.

HYDROELECTRICITY The cheapest, most flexible, reliable & benign way to make commercial electricity. Not popular with 'fringe' activists, for a range of reasons.

COAL was the glorious source of alternative energy hundreds of years ago. It was better than wood in so many ways.

OIL was the next "alternative".

The first oil wells went commercial just as the world was running low on whales to turn into lubricants and 'lamp oil'.

Every activist entity seems to have its own definition.

Most focus on renewable sources and minimal negative environmental effects.

Some alternatives are meaningless in global terms.

Collecting rancid cooking oil from a burger place works for the first guy to ask, but is just waste re-use, not a "new source of energy".

Electricity from the sun and the wind are the most popular.

SOLAR THERMAL

We have asked the sun to dry our food and salt for thousands of years.

We ask it to warm our tap-water and homes.

We focus it on a target to make steam and electricity. (aka Solar Thermal Power Technology)

SOLAR THERMAL

Electricity made this way costs as little as \$69 mwh.

SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC

Photocells convert light into electricity. Flat slices of silicon crystal are doped and made into expensive panels. Other materials offer good performance with much lower initial cost.

SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC

Panels are commonly mounted at a fixed angle, to maximize incident light.

Tracking the sun with them can double installed cost, but can make 20+% more power

SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC

PV

Menard's now offers a 60w panel for \$300. With DIY installation, installed cost \$320. With 250 days of 8 hours at 60w, and \$30 a year in 'cost', this is about \$0.25 per kwh.

> THIS PRICING MAY INCLUDE US GOVT SUBSIDIES.

SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC

PV

Batteries and an inverter not included, but PV is still better than a fossil powered genset for a minimal-power remote cottage.

THIS IS SEVERAL TIMES THE RETAIL COST OF POWER ON THE PRAIRIES.

SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC PV

Future PV cells may be tiny. Think of the sparkles in metal-flake paint.

Current photocells are sliced from 6" to 12" diameter bars of very pure silicon. Hailstones, birds and wind-driven debris can ruin these expensive installations.

SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC

PV

These tiny cells use about 1% of the silicon. They would be placed by robots onto resilient substrates. They can be run in series for high voltage and thus tiny wires, isolated in groups with cheap controls.

SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC

When developments like this become commercial, cost can drop by a factor of 10.

If this happens, and world-wide production increases 20 fold, cost would drop, perhaps by another factor of 10.

SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC

PV

At this point, these systems will displace all fossil powered remote electrical systems.

They would be by far the cheapest 'distributed' source of clean electricity. It is reasonable to expect that these would make large wind power largely obsolete.

SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC

PV is the most expensive alternative energy. Large PV farms now cost \$200-300/mwh (California RETI data)

PV has the greatest potential for dramatic cost reductions.

TRENDS WIND IS MUCH MORE EXPENSIVE NOW THAN 5-10 YEARS AGO.

GEOTHERMAL SITES ARE NOW MORE EXPENSIVE, AS SPECULATORS BUY THEM UP.

TRENDS

MASS-PRODUCTION HAS <u>NOT</u> MEANT LOWER COSTS

A symptom of the non-free-market forces that drive alternative energy...

SOLAR ENERGY CONCENTRATED SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC CPV

A large scale mirror system is cheaper per square foot than a photocell. A shiny trough does not have to move to track the sun.

Twice the light on a photocell makes nearly twice the electricity.

CPV is much cheaper than PV for commercial Solar Power "farms".

SOLAR ENERGY CONCENTRATED SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC CPV

Mirror system can be a fixed shiny trough.

New flexible materials are available with very high reflectance, and great resistance to weathering

It seems that every corporation with a large roof has announced a big photocell installation program.

Recently, this includes GM, Porsche, VW Ferrari and a variety of warehouses.

PRICING

ALL OF THESE ANNOUNCEMENTS INCLUDE DATA ON CAPACITY.

None will venture into the actual capital cost, subsidies or \$ 'saved'.

PRICING

One eye catching online PV promotional ad features \$ signs. They quote test results showing their cells had the lowest cost / kwh, and the second highest kw / unit of sunlight. When you search their site, nowhere can you find cost data.

SOLAR ENERGY PRICING

Is it just coincidence that promoters and the media are so averse to the mention of cost information?

•DIGESTER & LANDFILL OFF-GAS •GEOTHERMAL ELECTRICITY GENERATION •BIOMASS-TO-STEAM-TO-ELECTRICITY •WOOD OR CROP WASTE •ALGAE

DIGESTER & LANDFILL OFF-GAS

PRIMARILY METHANE

CAN BE BURNED TO MAKE HEAT

FOR SPACE HEATING OR TO KEEP THE DIGESTION GOING.

DIGESTER & LANDFILL OFF-GAS

THIS METHANE

CAN BE USED IN A PISTON ENGINE TO DRIVE A GENERATOR.

IF TOO DILUTE OR ERRATIC ON ITS OWN, LANDFILL GAS CAN BE BLENDED WITH NATURAL GAS TO POWER A PISTON OR TURBINE GEN-SET.

WHERE SUBTERRANEAN ROCK IS >200C

Water is pumped down a well, becomes superheated, and used in turbines to generate power. Volcanic areas have great potential.

Manitoba has no geothermal potential. MB heat pumps use the 10C soil near the surface as a sink for refrigeration systems. These use electricity to pump heat into and out of buildings.

Geothermal plants are very much like thermal (coal to steam) electricity generating plants, but with no fuel cost and no GHG emissions.

The hot water used can be corrosive. This increases the cost of operation.

Iceland and San Francisco are famous for their use of Geothermal energy.
The Yellowstone area could generate as much electricity as the world now uses.
The western states have countless volcanic sites to be exploited for power generation.

With favorable conditions, power can be made for under \$25/mwh.

With a standard plant design, engineering and operation costs of geothermal plants can be drastically reduced. Construction costs are not likely to change much.

BIOMASS-TO-STEAM-TO-ELECTRICITY

Biomass is renewable. Net GHG reduction over fossil fuel.

(The carbon borrowed from the air by green plants is just 'returned' by burning this material.)

BIOMASS-TO-STEAM-TO-ELECTRICITY

Fuel sources: Crop waste (straw etc.)

Crops grown just for fuel (i.e. algae living on power-plant flue gas) Forest industry waste

BIOMASS-TO-STEAM-TO-ELECTRICITY

Problems:

Cost to haul biomass to process plant. Briquetting problems. Cost to dry some potential fuels.

BIOMASS FOR HEAT

Problems: SIMILAR TO ABOVE BIOMASS ISSUES.

FOR REMOTE FUEL NEEDS, DELIVERY COSTS CAN BE LOWER THAN FOR TRADITIONAL FUELS.

WIND-TO-ELECTRICITY LIMITATIONS: SITING

Neighbours may complain. Best winds often not near cities.

Long AC transmission can cost / lose enough to offset the advantage of a faraway windy locale.

WIND-TO-ELECTRICITY

LIMITATIONS: COST

WITH SUBSIDIES, LARGE WINDMILLS ARE QUITE PROFITABLE.

WIND-TO-ELECTRICITY LIMITATIONS: COST

WHEN MAINSTREAM POWER IS VERY COSTLY, IT DOES NOT TAKE MUCH SUBSIDY TO MAKE MONEY ON WIND. SOME OF THE TIME, WHOLESALE POWER IN BOSTON COSTS MORE THAT THE REAL COST OF WIND. ARCTIC SETTLEMENTS CAN BE SIMILAR.

WIND-TO-ELECTRICITY LIMITATIONS: COST FACTORS

There is far more wind capacity installed in North America than a few years ago.

Capital and power purchase agreements are much more costly than 5 years ago. (Excel) California estimates \$60-130/mwh.

COST OF ALTERNATIVES THE COST OF THE VARIOUS ALTERNATIVES IS REGION SPECIFIC.

IN LUSH LOCALES, PELLETIZING BIOMASS AND BURNING IT FOR STEAM AND ELECTRICITY IS CHEAPER THAN IN THE DESERT, WHERE SOLAR IS AT ITS BEST.

THERE ARE A FEW TABLES OF COST DATA OUT THERE, EVEN THOUGH MOST IN THE INDUSTRY WILL NOT TALK COSTS.

I USED DATA FROM SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN, AND AZ AND CALIFORNIA GOVTS

IN THE SW USA, WIND COSTS \$60-135/mwh SOLAR PV IS NOW ABOUT TWICE THE PRICE OF NEW SOLAR THERMAL, WHICH IS SIMILAR TO OFFSHORE WIND, AT \$150 TO 200/mwh

THE ACTUAL COST OF BIG US OFFSHORE WIND IS NOT A VERY FIRM NUMBER.

CAPE WIND HAS BEEN STRUGGLING FOR SEVERAL YEARS WITH SOME SILLY BUT POWERFUL OPPONENTS. IF THINGS HAD GONE SMOOTHLY, THEY WOULD HAVE BEEN VERY PROFITABLE.

COST OF ALTERNATIVES THE CHEAPEST OF THE CURRENT CROP OF ALTERNATIVES ARE:

BIOMASS BURNING FOR HEAT + POWER HYDROELECTRICITY LANDFILL GAS TO POWER GEOTHERMAL ELECTRICITY

COST OF ALTERNATIVES THE US PRICES OF THESE ALTERNATIVES ARE:

BETWEEN \$20 AND \$100 / MWH THIS IS CHEAPER THAN NEW PLANTS FOR OTHER ALTERNATIVES. COAL IS STILL THE CHEAPEST TO BUILD IN MOST PLACES.

COST OF ALTERNATIVES ALTERNATIVE ENERGY IS THE MOST SECRETIVELY PRICED OF COMMODITIES.

THIS MAY BE AN ARTIFACT OF ITS PRECARIOUS POSTION.

It has had double digit growth for several years, largely based on fears of global warming and limited global resources.

IF ALTERNATIVE ENERGY HAD BEEN EVALUATED ON THE BASIS OF ACTUAL COSTS AND BENEFITS, IT WOULD BE A FRINGE INDUSTRY.

A LONG-TERM CARBON-TAX SCHEME COULD DRIVE FOSSIL FUEL PRICES 'HIGH ENOUGH' TO BRING ON LARGE-SCALE ALTERNATIVE POWER. A NEW TAX OF 30c TO 50c PER kwh MIGHT **BE UNPOPULAR WITH VOTERS AND** INDUSTRY

FUTURE ALTERNATIVES WIND WILL GET INCREMENTALLY MORE RELIABLE AND A BIT CHEAPER

GEOTHERMAL ELECTRICITY COULD DOMINATE WITH VERY LOW COSTS, IN 'VOLCANIC' REGIONS

SOLAR COULD DROP THE MOST IN PRICE, BUT IT IS VERY EXPENSIVE NOW

FUTURE ALTERNATIVES

OTHER ALTERNATIVES WILL REMAIN MINOR PLAYERS

HUMANITY WILL DEPEND ON 'CONVENTIONAL' FOR GENERATIONS TO COME

